

Minutes of a meeting of the Keighley Area Committee held on Thursday, 18 July 2019 in Council Chamber - Keighley Town Hall

Commenced 6.00 pm
Concluded 7.00 pm

Present – Councillors

LABOUR	CONSERVATIVE	THE INDEPENDENTS
M Slater Godwin Abid Hussain	Brown Ali Goodall K Green	Hawkesworth

Apologies: Councillors Herd and Lintern

Councillor Abid Hussain in the Chair

13. DISCLOSURES OF INTEREST

Councillors Green and Slater disclosed, in the interests of transparency, that they had children or grandchildren attending Ashlands Primary School, Ilkley (Minute 20)

Councillor Green also disclosed, in the interests of transparency, that he was a resident of Ben Rhydding Road (Minute 20)

Councillor Brown disclosed, in the interest of transparency, that he was a resident of Dockroyd Lane (Minute 20).

ACTION: City Solicitor

14. MINUTES

Resolved –

That the minutes of the meeting held on 20 June 2019 be signed as a correct record.

15. INSPECTION OF REPORTS AND BACKGROUND PAPERS

There were no appeals submitted by the public to review decisions to restrict documents

16. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

There were no questions submitted by the public.

17. THE CROWN INN, ADDINGHAM - NOMINATION TO LIST PROPERTY AS AN ASSET OF COMMUNITY VALUE

The Report of the Strategic Director, Corporate Services, (**Document “G”**) considered a nomination to list the property known as the Crown Inn, Addingham (public house and car park) as an Asset of Community Value under the Localism Act 2011.

It was acknowledged that ownership of the property was in the hands of the administrators of the previous owners who had gone into administration last year. Assurances were provided that the ownership should not affect the application and that full legal checks would be carried out before the Strategic Director, Corporate Services determined the application.

It was explained that the listing of land or property as an Asset of Community Value had the effect of preventing the owners from disposing of their listed property without first notifying the Council of their intention to sell. The notification of intention to sell triggered a six week moratorium on disposal during which local community groups and parish councils were able to express an interest in bidding for the property. If no expressions of interest were received the owner was free to dispose of his property at the end of the six week period. If an expression of interest was received the initial six week moratorium extended to six months to allow community groups and parish councils to prepare to bid for the property or to negotiate with the property owners.

Document “G” reported that officers had assessed the nomination and the four criteria for listing the property as an Asset of Community Value had been met.

A Member of the applicant group, Addingham Civic Society, addressed the meeting and reported that one third of the residents of Addingham were members of the Civic Society.

In response to questions it was confirmed that the listing as an ACV did not prevent the administrators requesting a change of use for the property.

Resolved –

That the Director of Corporate Resources be recommended to accept the nomination on the grounds that it meets the criteria and definition of an Asset of Community Value as set out in the Localism Act 2011.

***OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: Corporate /
Regeneration & Environment
ACTION: Strategic Director, Corporate Resources***

18. ILKLEY MANOR HOUSE - RENEWAL OF THE NOMINATION TO LIST AS AN

ASSET OF COMMUNITY VALUE

The Report of the Strategic Director, Corporate Services, (**Document “F”**) considered a nomination to renew the listing of the property known as Ilkley Manor House as an Asset of Community Value under the Localism Act 2011, following the original nomination made on 27 April 2014 which was due to expire on 11 September 2019.

As with the previous application discussed it was reiterated that the listing of land or property as an Asset of Community Value had the effect of preventing the owners from disposing of their listed property without first notifying the Council of their intention to sell. The notification of intention to sell triggered a six week moratorium on disposal during which local community groups and parish councils were able to express an interest in bidding for the property. If no expressions of interest were received the owner was free to dispose of his property at the end of the six week period. If an expression of interest was received the initial six week moratorium extended to six months to allow the community groups and parish councils to prepare to bid for the property or to negotiate with the property owners.

Document “F” reported that officers had assessed the nomination and the four criteria for listing the property as an Asset of Community Value had been met.

Members were advised that, to allow Ilkley Civic Society the time to secure funding, properties involved in the application were let on short term leases. Agreements for long term leases were proposed. A Member questioned the income from the leases and it was agreed to investigate and advise him of the amount. Concern was expressed that long term lets may prejudice the sale of an ACV.

Resolved –

That the Director of Corporate Resources be recommended to accept the nomination on the grounds that it meets the criteria and definition of an Asset of Community Value as set out in the Localism Act 2011.

***OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: Corporate /
Regeneration & Environment***

ACTION: Strategic Director, Corporate Resources

19. SAFER ROADS DEVOLVED BUDGET

The Strategic Director, (Place), presented a report (**Document “H”**) which sought approval for a programme of Safer Roads schemes in the Keighley area for 2019/20.

Appended to the report were Keighley Casualty Reduction Schemes – previous years Capital programme schemes; Keighley Casualty Reduction Schemes recommended 2019/20; Keighley Traffic Management Schemes recommended for 2019/20; a list of on-going Traffic Regulation Orders in Keighley and an outstanding list of Traffic Regulation orders in Keighley including those

recommended for inclusion in 2019/20 area wide Traffic Regulation Order.

Following presentation of the report Members raised a number of issues to which the following responses were provided:-

- A Zebra crossing was often utilised in low traffic areas and a Puffin crossing often where there was a higher volume of traffic.
- A Puffin crossing was a more modern version of the older Pelican crossing. The main difference was the lights controlling the pedestrians were on the same side of the road as the pedestrian user, rather than on the opposite side as in the older pelican crossing. The reason was that when pedestrians were using a Puffin crossing they were more aware of approaching traffic as they can't see a 'green man' light when actually crossing the road.
- A Toucan crossing was designed to assist cyclists as well as pedestrians and a Pegasus crossing was designed to assist horse riders as well as pedestrians.
- Delays had been incurred with the installation of traffic measures at Ashlands Primary School due to the necessity to order signs and connect an electricity supply.
- The use of the term "traffic measures" was to allow officers the opportunity to determine the most appropriate actions.
- The temporary Speed Indication Device (SID) signs in Bolling Road, Ilkley were funded by the Parish Council. In response a Member reported the success of the SID's in Bolling Road, Ilkley, and requested they become a permanent fixture.
- When plans were developed for TROs local Ward Councillors would be informed via email to ascertain their support prior to advert.
- TRO's for waiting restrictions could be installed on an unadopted highway if the road had tarmac surface so yellow lines could be applied to it to indicate the length of the restriction.

A Member questioned the exact location of the traffic measures and improvements to an existing zebra crossing on Keighley Road/Oakworth and it was agreed to provide that information. During discussions about the ward in which the crossing was located it was agreed that the speed and details of accidents would be considered and the Ward Members for those locations would be contacted before any action was taken.

Concern was expressed about parking problems near to a school on Dockroyd Lane and it was feared that the situation would escalate.

The intention to advertise a 'global' Traffic Regulation Order for 15 to 16 non contentious locations was reported and the cost savings of advertising those schemes collectively were discussed. Members were advised of an additional request to install No Waiting At Any Time (NWAAT) restrictions on Chapel Road, Steeton and it was agreed to add that scheme to the appropriate list.

The status of 'No Entry' restrictions on North Street in Keighley Central Ward was queried and it was agreed that the issue would be investigated.

In response to questions from Members it was explained that funding for TROs in Ben Rhydding, which it was envisaged may be required following parking restrictions in Ilkley, would be funded separately and did not appear on the lists under discussion.

Concern was expressed about speeding vehicles on Fell Lane following the implementation of 20mph zones in Oakworth. The sporadic implementation of 20mph zones in the area was also discussed and, whilst welcoming the restrictions, Members suggested the need for a strategic approach to the implementation of speed restrictions. In response it was acknowledged that this was being considered and further information would be provided.

The progress of a Councillor request to consider prohibiting access to Hartington Street at the junction with Strawberry Street was queried and it was agreed to investigate residents' concerns and report back to that Member. The status of measures at Albert Street would also be investigated and reported back.

In response to queries it was confirmed that the schemes highlighted on Appendix 5 were the schemes being recommended for approval and to be implemented during the current municipal year.

Resolved –

- 1. That the programme of Casualty Reduction schemes for 2019/20 listed in Appendix 2 to Document "H" be approved.**
 - 2. That the proposed programme of Traffic Management schemes for 2019/20 listed in Appendix 3 to Document "H" be approved.**
 - 3. That the progression of Traffic Regulation Order schemes for 2018/19 listed in Appendix 4 to Document "H" be noted.**
 - 4. That, with the addition of a scheme at Chapel Road, Steeton, the proposed programme of Traffic Regulation Order schemes for 2019/20 listed in Appendix 5 to Document "H" be approved.**
 - 5. That any Traffic Regulation Orders, or any legal procedures linked to the processing of traffic calming measures or pedestrian crossing facilities which are necessary to implement the chosen schemes be approved for processing and advertising subject to the scheme details being agreed with the local Ward Members.**
-
- 6. That any valid objections to the advertised Traffic Regulation Orders,**

traffic calming or pedestrian facilities be submitted to this Area Committee for consideration or in the event of there being no valid objections the Traffic Regulation Orders be sealed and implemented and the traffic calming or pedestrian facilities be implemented as advertised.

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE: Regeneration & Environment

ACTION: Strategic Director, Place

Chair

Note: These minutes are subject to approval as a correct record at the next meeting of the Keighley Area Committee.

THESE MINUTES HAVE BEEN PRODUCED, WHEREVER POSSIBLE, ON RECYCLED PAPER